

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: There are several issues that must be addressed in the claims before the application is placed in condition for allowance: 1) claims 7, 8, and 11 cannot be rejoined at this instance because methods itself lack necessary steps and thus would be rejected under 35 USC 112(2), and also claim 11 broadens the scope of the claim by referring to "the animal" where the specification has support only for "the rat".

Claim 14 should be re-written as to correctly refer to "a peptide" in claim 2.

Claim 19 should be cancelled since it is identical to claim 2.

Claim 23 requires a new search for the D-form retroinversion of SEQ ID NO:24.

Claim 26 lacks support in the specification for the "viral DNA." . .

M. Monshi
MARYAM MONSHIPOURI, PH.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER